arXiv:1512.08926v1 [hep-th] 30 Dec 2015

QGASLAB-15-09

A nonabelian particle-vortex duality in gauge theories

Jeff Murugan[a,*] and Horatiu Nastase[b,†]

[a] *The Laboratory for Quantum Gravity & Strings,*
Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics,
University of Cape Town, Private Bag, Rondebosch, 7700, South Africa
[b] *Instituto de Física Teórica, UNESP-Universidade Estadual Paulista*
R. Dr. Bento T. Ferraz 271, Bl. II, Sao Paulo 01140-070, SP, Brazil

Abstract

We define a nonabelian version of particle-vortex duality, by dimensionally extending usual (1+1)-dimensional nonabelian T-duality to (2+1) dimensions. While we will explicitly describe a global $SU(2)$ symmetry, our methods can also be applied to a larger group G, by gauging an appropriate subgroup. We will exemplify our duality with matter in both adjoint and fundamental representations by considering a modification of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory (Seiberg-Witten theory reduced to (2+1) dimensions), and an $SU(2) \times U(1)$ color-flavor locked theory that exhibits nonabelian vortex solutions.

*E-mail address: jeff@nassp.uct.ac.za
†E-mail address: nastase@ift.unesp.br

1 Introduction

The observation that the vacuum Maxwell equations are invariant under an exchange of electric and magnetic fields is sufficiently innocuous that we often teach it to freshman students. At the same time, it is deep enough that it is the direct ancestor of the web of low-dimensional dualities shaping our contemporary understanding of quantum field theory. Arguably, apart from this electric-magnetic case, the best known, and first formal realization of a duality between two field theories, was Coleman's proof of the (quantum) equivalence of the massive Thirring and Sine-Gordon models in (1+1) dimensions [1]. Here, in mapping between weak and strong coupling physics, the duality exchanges *particles* of the one theory with topological *solitons* of the other. This precipitated an enormous amount of work in the 80's and 90's that culminated in Seiberg and Witten's landmark analysis of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theories in (3+1) dimensions. In this case, the electric-magnetic duality that exchanges particles with monopoles was a crucial ingredient in solving for the full low energy effective action [2, 3].

That said, the bulk of the literature on the subject remains devoted to 1+1 dimensions, with application to integrability and spin chains, and 3+1 dimensions relevant to particle physics. The intermediate case of two spatial dimensions has remained largely unexplored. In this planar case, the duality acts between particles and the corresponding co-dimension 2 topological defects, *vortices*. As such, it finds application primarily in condensed matter physics, particularly in anyonic superconductivity [4] and the quantum Hall effect [5]. However, this particle-vortex duality (or 3D mirror symmetry) is not without its subtleties. In particular, while the electric-magnetic duality used to solve for the low energy action of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theories was perfectly well defined for nonabelian theories, a corresponding non-abelian realisation of particle-vortex duality in (2+1) dimensions was not. Indeed, even the abelian duality itself was not very well understood [6]. In [7] a path integral definition was given and embedded into the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ supersymmetric ABJM model [8], an $SU(N) \times SU(N)$ Chern-Simons matter gauge theory dual to the type IIA superstring in $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$. In this context, it was shown that abelian particle-vortex duality is holographically dual to Maxwell duality in the AdS_4 bulk.

In the prequel to this work [9], we defined a non-abelian version of particle-vortex duality. Our construction was based on a generalization of nonabelian T-duality on the (1+1)-dimensional worldsheet of a string, to (2+1) dimensions. This holds for theories with a global nonabelian $SU(2)$ invariance, as well as a local (gauge) symmetry. This was possible since, from the path integral point of view, abelian T-duality is nothing but a (1+1)-dimensional version of the (2+1)-dimensional particle-vortex duality. In this paper we expand on this non-abelian particle-vortex duality. In particular, we generalize it to a theory invariant under an arbitrary group G, as well as considering matter in a general representation. We illustrate our construction with several concrete examples. In addition

to elaborating on the semilocal cosmic strings reported in [9], we also apply the duality to a modification of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theory of Seiberg and Witten, reduced to 2+1 dimensions. Our final example is that of an $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge theory that exhibits non-abelian color-flavor locked vortices [10].

The paper is organized as follows. We set out our notation and formalism in section 2 by reviewing Abelian and non-Abelian T-dualities. Section 3 contains the crux of our argument. Here, after a review of the abelian version, we define the non-Abelian duality, as well as several generalizations. Section 4 is devoted to several explicit examples that serve to illustrate the workings of the duality, and we conclude with a discussion and some future directions in section 5. We include also an appendix in which we make some comments on a generalization to a general matter representation.

2 T-duality

2.1 Abelian T-duality

In this subsection we give a streamlined review Buscher's path integral derivation of (abelian) T-duality [11] in the context of the $(1+1)$-dimensional string worldsheet[1]. This is, of course, the quintessential example of duality in the path integral, and the other cases are simply generalizations thereof.

One begins with a "master" first order action for the coordinates, X^i, not modified by T-duality; a first order set of variables V_μ; and the Lagrange multipliers $\hat{x}^0$ that enforce flatness of the connection V_μ,

$$S_{\text{master}} = \int d^2\sigma \left\{ \sqrt{\gamma}\gamma^{\mu\nu}[g_{00}V_\mu V_\nu + 2g_{0i}V_\mu \partial_\nu X^i + g_{ij}\partial_\mu X^i \partial_\nu X^j] + 2\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\hat{X}^0 \partial_\mu V_\nu \right\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Variation of this action with respect to the Lagrange multiplier $\hat{X}^0$ gives $\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu V_\nu = 0$. This equation, in turn, is solved by $V_\mu = \partial_\mu X^0$. Replacing this V_μ in the master action takes us back to the usual string action,

$$S_{\text{P}} = \int d^2\sigma \left\{ \sqrt{\gamma}\gamma^{\mu\nu}[g_{00}\partial_\mu X^0 \partial_\nu X^0 + 2g_{0i}\partial_\mu X^0 \partial_\nu X^i + g_{ij}\partial_\mu X^i \partial_\nu X^j] \right\}. \quad (2.2)$$

On the other hand, varying instead with respect to V_μ gives

$$V_\mu = \frac{\hat{g}_{00}}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \epsilon^{\nu\rho}\gamma_{\rho\mu}\partial_\nu \hat{X}^0 - g_{0i}\partial_\mu \hat{X}^i \,, \quad (2.3)$$

[1]We will, without loss of generality, restrict ourselves to the case of $B_{\mu\nu} = 0$ and ϕ =constant. It won't hurt to set $2\pi\alpha' = 1$ either.

where $\hat{X}^i = X^i$, $\hat{g}_{00} = 1/g_{00}$. Replacing this V_μ in the master action gives the string action in the T-dual coordinates,

$$\widetilde{S} = \int d^2\sigma \left[\sqrt{\gamma}\gamma^{\mu\nu}\hat{g}_{ab}\partial^\mu \hat{X}^a \partial_\nu \hat{X}^b + \epsilon^{\mu\nu}\hat{B}_{ab}\partial_\mu \hat{X}^a \partial_\nu \hat{X}^b\right] , \tag{2.4}$$

where $a = (0i)$, and $\hat{g}_{ab}$ and $\hat{B}_{ab}$ are the T-dual metric and B-field,

$$\hat{g}_{ij} = g_{ij} - \frac{g_{0i}g_{0j}}{g_{00}}; \quad \hat{B}_{0i} = \frac{g_{0i}}{g_{00}}. \tag{2.5}$$

The relation between X^0 and $\hat{X}^0$ is found by equating the two formulas for V_μ,

$$\partial_\mu X^0 = \frac{\hat{g}_{00}}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\epsilon^{\nu\rho}\gamma_{\rho\mu}\partial_\nu \hat{X}^0 - g_{0i}\partial_\mu \hat{X}^i = \frac{\hat{g}_{00}}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\epsilon_{\rho\mu}\partial^\rho \hat{X}^0 - g_{0i}\hat{X}^i. \tag{2.6}$$

This makes clear the point that, in the absence of g_{0i}, T-duality looks like Hodge duality ($*\widetilde{F} = F$) in 2 dimensions.

2.2 Nonabelian T-duality

The nonabelian generalization of the above T-duality transformation was first defined in [12], and only recently modified to include RR-fields in [13, 14]. It is a transformation on a compact space, invariant under the action of a general nonabelian group, G. For concreteness (and simplicity), we will choose $G = SU(2)$, although our discussion below extends, in principle, to other group manifolds. The metric and B-field on the space are written in terms of the metric on the group manifold. Specifically, in terms of left-invariant one-forms, L^i, on the group manifold that satisfy

$$dL^i = \frac{1}{2}f^i{}_{jk}L^j \wedge L^k , \tag{2.7}$$

the metric and B-field, respectively, are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= G_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu + 2G_{\mu i}dx^\mu L^i + g_{ij}L^i L^j, \\ B &= B_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu + B_{\mu i}dx^\mu \wedge L^i + \frac{1}{2}b_{ij}L^i \wedge L^j. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Together with the constant dilaton $\phi = \phi_0$ they form an NS-NS string background. For the specific case of $G = SU(2)$, the L^i are the usual $SU(2)$ left-invariant one-forms,

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-\sin\psi d\theta + \cos\psi \sin\theta d\phi), \\ L_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\cos\psi d\theta + \sin\psi \sin\theta d\phi), \\ L_3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(d\psi + \cos\theta d\phi) , \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

written in terms of the Euler angles (θ, ϕ, ψ), which range over $0 \le \theta \le \pi$, $0 \le \phi \le 2\pi$, $0 \le \psi \le 4\pi$. Using the normalized Pauli matrices, $t^i = \tau^i/\sqrt{2}$, as generators, with $\mathrm{Tr}(t^i t^j) = \delta^{ij}$, and the group element

$$g = e^{\frac{i\phi\tau_3}{2}} e^{\frac{i\theta\tau_2}{2}} e^{\frac{i\psi\tau_3}{2}}, \tag{2.10}$$

understood as a field on the string worldsheet, the one-forms can be rewritten in world-sheet light-cone coordinates as

$$L^i_\pm = -i\,\mathrm{Tr}(t^i g^{-1}\partial_\pm g). \tag{2.11}$$

Of course, the above formula for the L^i holds more generally for t^i taken to be the normalized generators of some other group G and g an element of the group. Note also that, in this construction, g is complex while the L^i are all real. Defining

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\mu\nu} &= G_{\mu\nu} + B_{\mu\nu}, \quad Q_{\mu i} = G_{\mu i} + B_{\mu i}, \\ Q_{i\mu} &= G_{i\mu} + B_{i\mu}, \quad E_{ij} = g_{ij} + b_{ij}\ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

the Polyakov string worldsheet action is written in a global $SU(2)$-invariant form as

$$S = \int d^2\sigma \left[Q_{\mu\nu}\partial_+ X^\mu \partial_- X^\nu + Q_{\mu i}\partial_+ X^\mu L^i_- + Q_{i\mu} L^i_+ \partial_- X^\nu + E_{ij} L^i_+ L^j_- \right]. \tag{2.13}$$

Generalizing (2.6), we want to perform a nonabelian T-duality transformation on the coordinate one-forms L^i of the G-invariant manifold. Toward that end, we gauge the global G-invariance ($SU(2)$ in this particular case) as usual by introducing a gauge field A, and making derivatives covariant so that,

$$\partial_\pm g \to D_\pm g = \partial_\pm g - A_\pm g\ . \tag{2.14}$$

This leads to the replacement

$$\tilde{L}^i_\pm = -i\,\mathrm{Tr}[t^i g^{-1} D_\pm g], \tag{2.15}$$

in the string action. As in the abelian case, the gauge field A that we introduce, the analog of V_μ above, must be auxiliary in order not to introduce any extra degrees of freedom. This is accomplished by imposing its triviality, $F_{\mu\nu} = 0$, as a constraint, through a Lagrange multiplier term,

$$-i\,\mathrm{Tr}[vF_{+-}] = -i\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\,\mathrm{Tr}[vF_{\mu\nu}], \tag{2.16}$$

in the action. Here v is an adjoint vector of G, and the nontrivial field strength components are

$$F_{+-} = \partial_+ A_- - \partial_- A_+ - [A_+, A_-]. \tag{2.17}$$

In this way, we obtain a master action for the nonabelian T-duality,

$$S = \int d^2\sigma \left[Q_{\mu\nu}\partial_+ X^\mu \partial_- X^\nu + Q_{\mu i}\partial_+ X^\mu \tilde{L}^i_- + Q_{i\mu}\tilde{L}^i_+ \partial_- X^\nu + E_{ij}\tilde{L}^i_+ \tilde{L}^j_- - i\,\mathrm{Tr}[vF_{+-}] \right]. \tag{2.18}$$

Integrating out the Lagrange multiplier v leads to $F_{+-} = 0$, which means that the gauge field is trivial, modulo any topological issues, and substituting $A = 0$ we go back to the original action (2.13).

Integrating out the gauge field $A_\pm$ instead, obtains the string action in the nonabelian T-dual background. There is however one important caveat; now we have too many degrees

of freedom. Essentially, this is because we have retained the original coordinates L_i or, more generally, the coordinates g on the group manifold. Before solving for $A_\pm$ in terms of the v^i that will play the role of the dual coordinates, we need to first gauge fix the local G symmetry. One simple gauge fixing choice is to set $g = 1$, leading to the replacement

$$L^i_\pm \to i\,\mathrm{Tr}[t^i A_\pm] = iA^i_\pm. \tag{2.19}$$

Then, partially integrating the Lagrange multiplier term sets

$$-i\int d^2\sigma\,\mathrm{Tr}[vF_{+-}] = \int d^2\sigma\,\{\mathrm{Tr}[+i(\partial_+ v)A_- - i(\partial_- v)A_+] - A_+ f A_-\}\ , \tag{2.20}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &A_+\, f\, A_- \equiv A^i_+\, f_{ij}\, A^j_- \\ &f_{ij} \equiv f_{ij}{}^k\, v_k\ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Then, replacing the above and setting $L^i = iA^i$ in the master action, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S \;=\; &\int d^2\sigma\,\big[Q_{\mu\nu}\,\partial_+ X^\mu \partial_- X^\nu + Q_{\mu i}\,\partial_+ X^\mu(+iA^i_-) + Q_{i\mu}\,\partial_- X^\mu(+iA^i_+) \\ &+E_{ij}(iA^i_+)(iA^j_-) + i\partial_+ v_i\, A^i_- - i\partial_- v_i\, A^i_+ - A^i_+\, f_{ij}\, A^j_-\big]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

To arrive at the dual action, we need to eliminate the auxiliary gauge fields. To this end, varying the above action with respect to A^i_+ and, respectively, A^j_- gives

$$\begin{aligned} f_{ij}A^j_- &= -i\partial_- v_i - E_{ij}A^j_- + iQ_{\mu i}\partial_- X^\mu\ , \\ A^i_+ f_{ij} &= +i\partial_+ v_j - E_{ij}A^i_+ + iQ_{\mu j}\partial_+ X^\mu, \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

which can be solved to give

$$\begin{aligned} A^i_- &= -iM^{-1}_{ij}(\partial_- v_j - Q_{j\mu}\partial_- X^\mu) \\ A^i_+ &= +iM^{-1}_{ij}(\partial_+ v_j + Q_{\mu j}\partial_+ X^\mu)\ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

where we have defined $M_{ij} \equiv E_{ij} + f_{ij}$. Finally, substituting $A^i_\pm$ back into the master action gives the dual action

$$S_{\mathrm{dual}} = \int d^2\sigma[Q_{\mu\nu}\partial_+ X^\mu \partial_- X^\nu + (\partial_+ v_i + Q_{\mu i}\partial_+ X^\mu)M^{-1}_{ij}(\partial_- v_j - Q_{j\mu}\partial_- X^\mu)]. \tag{2.25}$$

At the quantum, one-loop determinant, level, it should be noted that the dilaton is modified in the usual way, as $\Phi(x, v) = \Phi(x) - \frac{1}{2}\ln(\det M)$.

3 Particle-vortex duality in 3 dimensions

Having set up the nonabelian T-duality procedure, we are now in a position to lift it to three dimensions where it will become particle-vortex duality. Before doing so, however, it will be useful to remind the reader of the more familiar abelian particle-vortex duality[2] as formulated in [7].

[2]For a more 'textbook' introduction, the reader is referred to [6]

3.1 Abelian particle-vortex duality

The story here starts with a general action for a complex scalar coupled to an abelian gauge field,

$$S = \int d^3x \left[-\frac{1}{2}|D_\mu \Phi|^2 - V(|\Phi|) - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2 \right] , \tag{3.1}$$

where, as usual, $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu a_\nu - \partial_\nu a_\mu$ and $D_\mu \Phi = \partial_\mu \Phi - iea_\mu \Phi$. With an appropriate choice of symmetry-breaking potential, the theory admits vortex solutions, (Φ, a_μ), *i.e.* isolated zeros of Φ with nontrivial monodromy. To rewrite the on-shell action in terms of the vortex variables, we first express the scalar as $\Phi = \Phi_0 e^{i\alpha}$ where, in the presence of vortices the argument splits into $\alpha = \alpha_{\rm smooth} + \alpha_{\rm vortex}$ with $\alpha_{\rm vortex}$ encoding the $2\pi n$ phase change that comes with a counter-clockwise circling of the vortex. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -\frac{1}{2}\int d^3x \left[(\partial_\mu \Phi_0)^2 + (\partial_\mu \alpha_{\rm smooth} + \partial_\mu \alpha_{\rm vortex} + ea_\mu)^2 \Phi_0^2 + \right] \\ &\quad - \int d^3x \left[V(\Phi_0) + \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2 \right] . \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

It is not difficult to see that this can be obtained from the 'master' action

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\rm master} &= \int d^3x \left[-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi_0)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{\mu,{\rm smooth}} + \lambda_{\mu,{\rm vortex}} + ea_\mu)^2 \Phi_0^2 + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} b_\mu \partial_\nu \lambda_\rho \right. \\ &\quad \left. -V(\Phi_0) - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2 \right] , \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

by varying with respect to the Lagrange multiplier b_μ, solving the resulting equation of motion for $\lambda_\mu = \partial_\mu \alpha$ and subtituting into the master action. Varying instead with respect to $\lambda_{\mu,{\rm smooth}}$, gives

$$(\lambda_\mu + ea_\mu)\Phi_0^2 = -\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}\partial_\nu b_\rho . \tag{3.4}$$

Substituting this λ_μ back into the master action, gives the dual action

$$S_{\rm dual} = \int d^3x \left[-\frac{(f_{\mu\nu}^b)^2}{4\Phi_0^2} - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi_0)^2 - \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} b_\mu \partial_\nu a_\rho - \frac{2\pi}{e} b_\mu j_{\rm vortex}^\mu - V(\Phi_0) - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2 \right] . \tag{3.5}$$

Two points worth noting, are that under the particle-vortex duality, the scalar current

$$j_\mu = -ie(\Phi^\dagger \partial_\mu \Phi - \Phi \partial_\mu \Phi^\dagger) = e\Phi_0^2 \partial_\mu \alpha , \tag{3.6}$$

associated to the the complex field Φ is exchanged with the vortex current

$$j_{\rm vortex}^\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}\partial_\nu \partial_\rho \alpha = \frac{1}{2\pi e\Phi_0^2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}\partial_\nu j_\rho , \tag{3.7}$$

and secondly, the real phase, α, associated to the vortex field is interchanged with the gauge field b_μ, while the original gauge field a_μ remains unaffected.

3.2 Nonabelian particle-vortex duality

We now have all the ingredients to formulate our nonabelian particle-vortex duality by lifting the non-abelian T-duality prescription to three dimensions, but writing it in the familiar language of abelian particle-vortex duality. As this is really the crux of our argument, we will make every effort to be pedagogical in our treatment.

To begin, we consider the real variables Φ_0^k and L_μ^i that are the nonabelian generalizations of Φ_0 and λ_μ respectively. At this point, the indices i and k are not restricted in how they transform. Taking the matrices t^i in the Lie algebra of G, we construct the *real* variables

$$L_\mu^i = -i\,\mathrm{Tr}[t^i g^{-1}\partial_\mu g]\ , \tag{3.8}$$

where, again, $g \in G$ is a group element and $\mu = 1,2,3$ are spacetime indices in 2+1 dimensions. Next, we want to define an action for L_μ^i and Φ_0^k. In close analogy with the two-dimensional case, we need to introduce analogs of the Q and E matrices which, in the present case, we will call Q_{kl}, Q_{ki} and E_{ij}. However, we find that the introduction of general Q_{kl} and Q_{ki} comes at the cost of a loss of a simple interpretation, and consequently we first restrict our attention to the case of $Q_{ki} = 0$ and $Q_{kl} = \delta_{kl}$. In this language then,

$$S_{\text{original}} = \int d^3x \left[-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi_0^k)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\Phi_0^k)^2 g^{\mu\nu} L_\mu^i L_\nu^j E_{ij}\right]. \tag{3.9}$$

To write a master action for the duality, we gauge a subgroup G, by introducing gauge fields A_μ^i and replacing normal derivatives with covariant derivatives $\partial_\mu g \to D_\mu g = \partial_\mu g - A_\mu g$ and L_μ^i with $\tilde{L}_\mu^i = -i\,\mathrm{Tr}[t^i g^{-1} D_\mu g]$. The ungauged theory is recovered by imposing a vanishing of the field strength, $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - [A_\mu, A_\nu]$, with Lagrange multipliers v^i. Note that, since we gauge only a subgroup of G, some of the A^i, with their associated v^i, are taken to be zero. Putting this all together gives the master action

$$S_{\text{master}} = \int d^3x\ \left[-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi_0^k)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\Phi_0^k)^2 g^{\mu\nu} \tilde{L}_\mu^i \tilde{L}_\nu^j E_{ij} + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} v_\mu^i F_{\nu\rho}^i\right]\ , \tag{3.10}$$

for the duality. Indeed, varying with respect to v^i leads to $F_{\mu\nu}^i = 0$, and the gauge field can be taken to be trivial. $\tilde{L}_\mu^i$ is then replaced with L_μ^i leading to the original action (3.9). If, instead, we gauge fix $g = 1$ and eliminate the corresponding gauge-fixed A_μ^i from its equation of motion

$$\tilde{L}_\mu^i \to i\,\mathrm{Tr}[t^i A_\mu] = i A_\mu^i\ . \tag{3.11}$$

After a partial integration of the Lagrange multiplier term

$$\int d^3x\,\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}\,v_\mu^i F_{\nu\rho}^i = \int d^3x\,\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}\left[(\partial_\mu v_\nu^i)A_\rho^i - (\partial_\nu v_\mu^i)A_\rho^i + A_\mu^i\, f_{\nu ij}\, A_\rho^j\right]\ ,$$

where $f_{\nu ij} \equiv f_{ijk}\, v_\nu^k$. This leads to a purely algebraic action for A_μ^i, which can be explicitly eliminated through its equation of motion,

$$[(\Phi_0^k)^2 g^{\mu\rho} E_{ij} + 2\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} f_{\nu ij}] A_\rho^j = -\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}(\partial_\nu v_{\rho i} - \partial_\rho v_{\nu i}). \tag{3.12}$$

This is solved by

$$A^i_\mu = -M^{ij}{}_{\mu\rho}\, V^\rho_j \ , \tag{3.13}$$

with $M^{ij}_{\mu\nu} \equiv (M^{-1})^{\mu\nu}_{ij}$ and V^μ_i defined through

$$\begin{aligned} M^{\mu\rho}_{ij} &\equiv [(\Phi^k_0)^2 g^{\mu\rho} E_{ij} + 2\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} f_{\nu ij}] \\ V^\mu_i &\equiv \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}(\partial_\nu v_{\rho i} - \partial_\rho v_{\nu i}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Substituting the A^i_μ back into (3.10) yields the dual

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\rm dual} &= \int d^3x \left[-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi^k_0)^2 + \frac{1}{2} A^i_\mu M^{\mu\rho}_{ij} A^j_\rho + A^i_\mu V^\mu_i \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\int d^3x \, \left[V^\mu_i M^{-1}_{ij} V^\rho_j + (\partial_\mu \Phi^k_0)^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Notice that the duality amounts to the exchange of L^i_μ with $v_{i\mu}$, related by

$$L^i_\mu \leftrightarrow \tilde{L}^i_\mu = -M^{ij}_{\mu\rho}\, \epsilon^{\rho\nu\sigma}(\partial_\rho v_{\sigma j} - \partial_\sigma v_{\rho j}). \tag{3.16}$$

To interpret this as the action of the particle-vortex dual of the original theory, we still need to couple the theory to a nontrivial external gauge field, find a system that admits vortices, and couple the action to the vortex current. The external gauge field must reside in the complement of the symmetry group G with respect to the directions on which the T-duality was carried out, since we cannot gauge those directions twice.

3.2.1 Standard kinetic terms

In order to develop some intuition for how the nonabelian duality works, we would like to mirror as closely as possible its abelian precursor. There, we traded in Φ_0 and $\lambda_\mu = \partial_\mu \alpha$ for the single field $\Phi = \Phi_0 e^{i\alpha}$ and we would like to be able to do the same with Φ^k_0 and L^i_μ. One simple case is to take Φ^k_0 in the adjoint representation, but not necessarily of the same group. In the case of $L^i_\mu \in G = SU(2)$, for example, Φ^k_0 could be a (real) adjoint triplet, though not necessarily of the same $SU(2)$ group. We can then define the complex field

$$\Phi = \Phi^a_0\, T_a \otimes \exp\left(i \int dx^\mu L^i_\mu F^A_i \widetilde{T}_A \right) \ , \tag{3.17}$$

where T_a and $\widetilde{T}_A$, with $A = 1, ..., N$, are adjoint matrices transforming under *a priori* different groups. Though not necessary, the indices A and i can both be in the same group G. The F^A_i are fixed coefficients from which we construct E_{ij}.

If we normalize the generators as $\mathrm{Tr}[T_a T_b] = \delta_{ab}$; $\mathrm{Tr}[\widetilde{T}_A \widetilde{T}_B] = \delta_{AB}$ then $\mathrm{Tr}[(T_a \otimes \widetilde{T}_A)(T_b \otimes \widetilde{T}_B)] = \delta_{AB}\delta_{ab}$, and the canonical kinetic term for the field Φ can be written as

$$\mathrm{Tr}\,|\partial_\mu \Phi|^2 = \mathrm{Tr}\left[|T_a \otimes \widetilde{T}_A (\partial_\mu \Phi^a_0\, \mathbb{1}_A + i \Phi^a_0 L^i_\mu F^A_i)|^2 \right] = N[(\partial_\mu \Phi^a_0)^2 + (\Phi^a_0)^2 L^i_\mu L^j_\mu\, E_{ij}] \ , \tag{3.18}$$

where we have defined $N\, E_{ij} \equiv F^A_i F^A_j$. Apart from the overall factor of N then, this is precisely the kinetic term considered in (3.9). At this point, there are several ways we can implement this construction:

- Arguably, the simplest is to take $\widetilde{T}_A$ to be trivial, *i.e.* a singlet. Then, the ansatz for Φ is

$$\Phi = \Phi^a T_a; \quad \Phi^a = \Phi_0^a \exp\left(i \int dx^\mu L_\mu^i F_i\right), \tag{3.19}$$

with a corresponding scalar kinetic term

$$\sum_a |\partial_\mu \Phi^a|^2 = (\partial_\mu \Phi_0^a)^2 + (\Phi_0^a)^2 L_\mu^i L_\nu^j g^{\mu\nu} F_i F_j \ , \tag{3.20}$$

This is clearly the same as in (3.9), except with $E_{ij} = F_i F_j$ now separable. Note that, in this case, according to our ansatz, there is only one phase multiplying all the Φ^a. This phase is written in terms of several L_μ^i, labelled by the index i that ranges over the whole global symmetry group G. Since the phase encodes just one real degree of freedom, we could well have written $L_\mu^i F_i \equiv \bar{L}_\mu$. However, for our purposes of dualizing a subgroup of G, it will be more useful to leave the dependence on the full global symmetry manifest.

- Another possibility is to take a to be an index in another (non-adjoint) representation, while $\widetilde{T}_A$ is again trivial. We can still write the ansatz as (3.19), only *without* $\Phi = \Phi^a T_a$. Here again, the kinetic term is of the form (3.9).

- Yet another choice is to have T_a trivial and the $\widetilde{T}_A$ matrices diagonal. In this case the ansatz takes the form

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_0 e^{i\theta_1} & & & \\ & \Phi_0 e^{i\theta_2} & & \\ & & ... & \\ & & & \Phi_0 e^{i\theta_n} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.21}$$

It is a particular case of the diagonal ansatz

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 e^{i\theta_1} & & & \\ & \Phi_2 e^{i\theta_2} & & \\ & & ... & \\ & & & \Phi_n e^{i\theta_n} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.22}$$

often considered in the nonabelian vortex literature. The case of a general representation will be studied in a later section.

3.2.2 Coupling to an external gauge field and vortices

We are now free to add a potential depending only on Φ_0^a to this action without affecting the duality. We are also free to couple the scalar field to an external gauge field, a_μ, with kinetic term, $+\frac{1}{4}\,\mathrm{Tr}[f_{\mu\nu}^2]$. As discussed earlier, such an external gauge field, $a_\mu = a_\mu^m T_m$, must live in an interal direction not gauged during the duality procedure, a statement that amounts to $\mathrm{Tr}[A_\mu T_m] = 0$. The minimal coupling to the gauge field, in terms of the

variables Φ_0^a and L_μ^i, would simply be to make the derivative in $\tilde{L}_\mu^i$ covariant also with respect to a_μ. This would necessitate replacing $\tilde{L}_\mu^i$ by

$$\tilde{\tilde{L}}_\mu^i = -i\,\mathrm{Tr}[t^i g^{-1}(\partial_\mu - i(A_\mu^i T_i + a_\mu^m T_m))g]\ , \tag{3.23}$$

and, consequently, making *all the directions in the symmetry group manifold gauge covariant*. However, since we are looking for vortex solutions, whose topology is usually determined from the boundary condition that $D_\mu\Phi \to 0$ at infinity, it is more useful to define a covariant derivative involving a_μ that acts on the whole field Φ instead.

In the case of Φ obtained from the tensor product of adjoint representations (3.17), the action of the partial derivative on Φ is given by

$$\partial_\mu\Phi = (T_a\partial_\mu\Phi_0^a + T_a\otimes\widetilde{T}_A\, i\Phi_0^a L_\mu^i F_i^A)\,\mathbb{1}\otimes e^{i\int dx^\mu L_\mu^i F_i^A\widetilde{T}_A}\ . \tag{3.24}$$

Consequently, the covariant derivative *with respect to* a_μ acts as

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu\Phi \;=\; & \Big(T_a\partial_\mu\Phi_0^a + T_a\otimes\widetilde{T}_A\, i\Phi_0^a L_\mu^i F_i^A + T_a\Phi_0^a\otimes\Big[a_\mu^m\widetilde{T}_m, e^{i\int dx^\mu L_\mu^i F_i^A\widetilde{T}_A}\Big] \\ & \times e^{-i\int dx^\mu L_\mu^k F_k^A\widetilde{T}_A}\Big)\,\mathbb{1}\otimes e^{i\int dx^\mu L_\mu^j F_j^A\widetilde{T}_A}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Comparing the two expressions reveals that, in effect, the L_μ^i field is replaced by

$$L_\mu^i F_i^A \to L_\mu^i F_i^A + i a_\mu^m \int dx^\nu\, L_\nu^i F_i^B f_{Bm}{}^A + \mathcal{O}((L_\nu^j)^2)\ , \tag{3.26}$$

to first order. The $f_{Bm}{}^C$ are nothing but the structure constants in the commutator $[T_B, T_m] = f_{Bm}{}^C T_C$. The case when T_m is a singlet, *i.e.* when we gauge a $U(1)$ subgroup of G, must be treated separately since there $f_{Bm}{}^C = 0$. In that case, however, the covariant derivative is the usual $U(1)$ covariant one, $D_\mu\Phi = (\partial_\mu - i a_\mu)\Phi$. It is easily verified that, if the identity $\mathbb{1}$ corresponding to the $U(1)$ direction is among the t^i, say $t^0 = \mathbb{1}$, and $F_0 = 1$, the two definitions coincide, since

$$\mathrm{Tr}\,|D_\mu\Phi|^2 = (\partial_\mu\Phi^a)^2 + \tilde{L}_\mu^i\tilde{L}_\mu^j E_{ij}(\Phi_0^a)^2\ , \tag{3.27}$$

with $E_{ij} = F_i F_j$ and $\tilde{L}_\mu^i = \mathrm{Tr}[t^i g^{-1} D_\mu g]$. If $F_0 \neq 1$, the two definitions have gauge fields, a_μ, that differ by a factor of F_0.

Having coupled the scalar to an external gauge field, we can now specify a vortex ansatz that generalizes the usual abelian-Higgs one. We will restrict our attention to axially symmetric solutions and set the field modulus $\Phi_0^a = \Phi_0^a(r)$, with r the radial direction on the 2-plane. For a vortex phase that winds N_A times around the polar angle θ, we write

$$e^{i\int dx^\mu L_\mu^i F_i^A} = e^{iN_A\theta}\ . \tag{3.28}$$

In the $U(1)$ case, it will suffice to erase the index A in the vortex phase above. The condition $D_\mu\Phi \to 0$ as $r\to\infty$ implies, as usual, both a finite total kinetic energy, as well as a nontrivial topological charge for the field configuration, *i.e.* a quantized $\oint a_\theta d\theta$. With

this ansatz, in specific cases and with specific choice of the potential $V(\Phi)$, we can check for the existence of actual vortices. In the next section, we will do just that and consider three specific cases where the known topological solutions can be put in the form implied by our vortex ansatz. For the rest of this section though, we will assume such a solution exists.

The final step in defining the duality is to introduce a vortex current into the action, and to check that it is, in fact, dual to the particle current. We will take as a guide the abelian case, where the phase variable α is split into $\alpha_{\rm smooth} + \alpha_{\rm vortex}$, and with $\alpha_{\rm vortex}$ encoding the topological charge through $\alpha_{\rm vortex} = N\theta$ for N coincident vortices. The analogous statement in the nonabelian case would be the splitting of L^i_μ into $L^i_\mu + L^i_{\mu,\rm vortex}$ or, in the gauge $g = 1$, using $L^i_\mu = iA^i_\mu + L^i_{\mu,\rm vortex}$. However, it will prove more convenient to instead consider $A^i_\mu \to A^i_{\mu,\rm smooth} + A^i_{\mu,\rm vortex}$ in the gauge fixed action as the analog of splitting $\lambda_\mu \to \lambda_{\mu,\rm smooth} + \lambda_{\mu,\rm vortex}$ in the abelian case. Then, substituting into the gauge-fixed form of (3.10), and varying with respect to $A^i_{\mu,\rm smooth}$, we obtain

$$A^i_{\mu,\rm smooth} + A^i_{\mu,\rm vortex} = -M^{-1\,\mu\rho}_{ij} V^\rho_j \ , \tag{3.29}$$

which is the analog of (3.4). Substituting back into the gauge-fixed form of the master action (3.10), gives again the dual action (3.15), except with an extra vortex current term coming from the part of the Lagrange multiplier term, $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} v^i_\mu F^i_{\nu\rho}$, linear in A^i_μ,

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} v^i_\mu (\partial_\nu A^i_{\rho,\rm vortex} - \partial_\rho A^i_{\nu,\rm vortex}) \equiv v^i_\mu \, j^{\mu\, i}_{\rm vortex} \ . \tag{3.30}$$

Our ansatz, (3.28), implies that $A^i_{\rho,\rm vortex} \propto \partial_\rho \theta$, so that this vortex current vanishes everywhere except at the location of the vortex. This is consistent with the corresponding abelian current. More precisely,

$$A^i_{\mu,\rm vortex} F^A_i = L^i_{\mu,\rm vortex} F^A_i = N^A \partial_\mu \theta = \partial_\mu \alpha^A \ , \tag{3.31}$$

where α^A is a phase for the field with index A. We can then define

$$j^A_\mu = -i(\Phi^\dagger_A \partial_\mu \Phi^A - \Phi^A \partial_\mu \Phi^\dagger_A) = 2(\Phi_0)^2 \partial_\mu \alpha^A; \, , \tag{3.32}$$

with no sum over A and constant field vev, Φ_0. Consequently, $A^i_{\mu,\rm vortex} = \dfrac{j^A_\mu}{2\Phi_0^2} F^A_j E^{-1}_{ij}$ which, in turn, implies that

$$j^{\mu\, i}_{\rm vortex} = \frac{1}{2\Phi_0^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu (j^A_\rho F^A_j E^{-1}_{ij}) \ . \tag{3.33}$$

This defines the duality relation between the nonabelian vortex and particle currents, in an analogous way to the abelian case.

3.3 Adding Q's

Up until now, in the interests of building on the intuition afforded us by the abelian particle-vortex duality, we have set $Q_{ab} = 0 = Q_{ai}$, essentially so that we could implement

the duality on a theory with a standard kinetic term. Abandoning this constraint, allows us to generalize the two-dimensional nonabelian T-duality in a minimal but interesting way. To this end, consider the action

$$S_{\rm original} = \int d^3x \left[-\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \Phi_0^a g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \Phi_0^b Q_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}(\Phi_0^a)^2 g^{\mu\nu} \tilde{L}_\mu^i \tilde{L}_\nu^j E_{ij} - g^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\mu \Phi_0^a)\tilde{L}_\nu^i Q_{ai} \right], \quad (3.34)$$

where, as before, we define $E_{ij} = F_i^A F_j^A$. Now, however, we want also to write $Q_{ai} \equiv Q_{abi}\Phi_0^b$. Then, the master action for the 3-dimensional nonabelian duality is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\rm master} &= \int d^3x \left[-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi_o^a)\partial_\nu \Phi_0^b \, g^{\mu\nu} \, Q_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}(\Phi_0^a)^2 g^{\mu\nu} \tilde{L}_\mu^i \tilde{L}_\nu^j E_{ij} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - g^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\mu \Phi_0^a)\tilde{L}_\nu^i \, \Phi_0^v \, Q_{abi} + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \, v_\mu^i F_{\nu\rho}^j \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

As before, solving for the Lagrange multipliers v_μ^i, allows us to set $A_\mu^i = 0$, reducing $\tilde{L}_\mu^i$ to L_μ^i, and taking us back to the original action. If, instead, we gauge fix $g = 1$, effectively replacing $\tilde{L}_\mu^i$ by iA_μ^i, the variation with respect to A_μ^i gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left[(\Phi_0^a)^2 g^{\mu\rho} E_{ij} + 2\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} f_{\nu ij}\right] A_\rho^j &= -\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}(\partial_\nu v_{\rho i} - \partial_\rho v_{\nu i}) + i g^{\mu\rho}(\partial_\rho \Phi_0^a)\Phi_0^b Q_{abi} \\ &\equiv -V_i^\mu + iW_\mu^i \,, \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

where V_i^μ is the same as in the previous subsection and W_μ^i comes from the last term. This equation is solved by $A_\mu = -M^{-1\,\mu\rho}_{\;\;ij}(V_j^\rho - iW_j^\rho)$. Finally, substituting back into the master action, gives the dual action,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\rm dual} &= -\frac{1}{2}\int d^3x \left[-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi_0^a)(\partial_\nu \Phi_0^b) g^{\mu\nu} Q_{ab} + \frac{1}{2} A_\mu^i M_{ij}^{\mu\rho} A_\rho^j + A_\mu^i (V_i^\mu - iW_i^\mu) \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\int d^3x \left[(\partial_\mu \Phi_0^a)(\partial_\nu \Phi_0^b) g^{\mu\nu} Q_{ab} + (V - iW)_i^\mu M^{-1\,ij}_{\;\;\;\mu\rho} (V - iW)_j^\rho \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

We can couple to an external gauge field in the same way as before, by replacing derivatives with covariant derivatives either in L_μ^i, or in the $\partial_\mu \Phi$ itself. We can also add a vortex current to the action by replacing A_μ^i by $A_{\mu,\rm smooth} + A_{\mu,\rm vortex}$, with a corresponding equation of motion

$$A_{\mu,\rm smooth} + A_{\mu,\rm vortex} = -M^{-1\,\mu\rho}_{\;\;ij}(V_j^\rho - iW_j^\rho) \,. \quad (3.38)$$

The new vortex current term in the action remains

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} v_\mu^i (\partial_\nu A^i_{\rho,\rm vortex} - \partial_\rho A^i_{\nu,\rm vortex}) \equiv v_\mu^i j^{\mu i}_{\rm vortex}. \quad (3.39)$$

4 Some examples

Having established, in some detail, the formal aspects of the construction, in this section we will illustrate explicitly how the nonabelian particle-vortex duality works in some important explicit cases.

4.1 Semilocal (cosmic) strings

The first case that we turn our attention to is that of the semilocal cosmic strings of [15–17]. Cosmic strings are, of course, (3+1)-dimensional extensions of the usual (2+1)-dimensional abelian-Higgs vortices and have, over the years, played a not insignificant part in cosmological models of the early Universe and, in particular, the theory of structure formation [18]. *Semilocal* cosmic strings extend the usual $U(1)$ strings to solutions that exhibit an admixture of local and global symmetries that make them really the first examples of vortices with nonabelian structure. It also makes them a prime candidate to test our formulation of the duality.

The model, as it was first formulated, has an abelian $U(1)$ gauge symmetry and a global $SU(2)$ symmetry. For ease of comparison with the general case, we will formulate it as a global $U(2)$ symmetry, a diagonal $U(1)$ subgroup of which is gauged. Besides the $U(1)$ gauge field a_μ, the model contains a scalar $\Phi = (\Phi^a) = \left(\Phi^1, \Phi^2\right)^T$, $a = 1, 2$, that transforms in the *fundamental* representation of the global flavor $SU(2)$. With a quartic Higgs-type potential for Φ, the action takes the form

$$S = \int d^3x \left[-\frac{1}{2}|D_\mu \Phi|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4}\left(\Phi^\dagger\Phi - v^2\right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} f_{\mu\nu} f^{\mu\nu} \right]. \tag{4.1}$$

Here the covariant derivative of Φ is the usual abelian one, $D_\mu\Phi = (\partial_\mu - iea_\mu)\Phi$ with a standard field strength $f_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu a_\nu - \partial_\mu a_\nu$. For comparison with our more general formulation above, note that this is nothing but the abelian case described in section 3.2 with the index A being in a singlet, while the index a, is in the fundamental. In other words, Φ^a is coupled to an abelian external gauge field a_μ. The ansatz in terms of the real field Φ_0^a and L_μ^i is therefore

$$\Phi^a = \Phi_0^a \exp\left(i \int dx^\mu L_\mu^i F_i \right), \quad a = 1, 2; \;\; i = 1, 2, 3, 4. \tag{4.2}$$

The index i is in the adjoint of the global $U(2)$, with $i = 4$ corresponding to the identity, *i.e.* to the $U(1)$ that couples to the external gauge field, while $i = 1, 2, 3$ correspond to the $SU(2)$ to be gauged for the duality procedure. As explained in our general discussion, in order to have the minimal coupling of the gauge field a_μ to Φ expressed by the replacement of L_μ^i with $\tilde{L}_\mu^i = L_\mu^i + a_\mu\, \delta_4^i$, we require $F_4 = 1$.

The semi-local string solution of the theory is obtained from the axially symmetric N-vortex ansatz

$$a_\theta = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{n}{r} a(r); \quad a_r = 0; \quad \Phi^a = v\, \varphi^a(r)\, e^{in\alpha_a}\,, \tag{4.3}$$

where (r, θ) are polar coordinates on the plane. The ansatz implies the condition that on the circle at infinity, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 + c$, with c a constant. We can, without loss of generality, take $c = 0$, in which case the phases of Φ^1, Φ^2 coincide and the decomposition (4.2) required for

the duality is satisfied on the N-vortex ansatz.

The Hamiltonian of this system obeys a Bogomolnyi bound that saturates at the critical coupling $\beta \equiv \frac{2\lambda}{e^2} = 1$, and where the second order equations of motion reduce to the first order BPS equations,

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dr} = \frac{n}{r}(1-a)\varphi, \quad \frac{da}{dr} = \frac{r}{n}(1-\varphi^2)\ , \tag{4.4}$$

with $\varphi(r) = \sqrt{(\varphi^1(r))^2 + (\varphi^2(r))^2}$. These are the same as the BPS equations of the Nielsen-Olesen vortex, a fact that can be exploited to allow us to construct the semi-local vortex from the numerical solution for the former. On the decomposition ansatz (4.2), the action (4.1) becomes

$$S_{\text{original}} = \int d^3x \left[-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi_0^a)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\Phi_0^a)^2 g^{\mu\nu} \sum_{i,j=1}^{4} \tilde{L}_\mu^i \tilde{L}_\nu^j E_{ij} - \frac{1}{4} f_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4}\left((\Phi_0^a)^2 - v^2\right)^2 \right], \tag{4.5}$$

where $E_{ij} = F_i F_j$ and $\Phi_0^a = v\varphi^a$. To dualize, we first gauge the model by replacing $\tilde{L}_\mu^i$ with

$$\tilde{\tilde{L}}_\mu^i = -i\,\mathrm{Tr}\left[T^i g^{-1} \left(\partial_\mu - i \left(\sum_{\tilde{i}=1,2,3} A_\mu^{\tilde{i}} T_{\tilde{i}} + a_\mu^4 T_4 \right) g \right) \right], \tag{4.6}$$

then add a Lagrange multiplier term for $A_\mu^{\tilde{i}}$, obtaining the master action

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{master}} \;=\; & \int d^3x \left[-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi_0^a)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\Phi_0^a)^2 g^{\mu\nu} \sum_{i,j=1}^{4} \tilde{\tilde{L}}_\mu^i \tilde{\tilde{L}}_\nu^j E_{ij} - \frac{1}{4} f_{\mu\nu}^2 \right. \\ & \left. -\frac{\lambda}{4}\left((\Phi_0^a)^2 - v^2\right)^2 + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \sum_{\tilde{i}=1,2,3} v_\mu^{\tilde{i}} F_{\nu\rho}^{\tilde{i}} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Eliminating the Lagrange multipliers $v^{\tilde{i}}$, we can put $A_\mu^i = 0$ and return to the original action (4.5), which is equivalent to (4.1) on the decomposition ansatz. Gauge fixing $g = 1$ for the $SU(2)$ part and eliminating the resulting $A_\mu^{\tilde{i}}$ instead, obtains the dual action

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{dual}} \;=\; & \int d^3x \left[-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi_0^a)^2 - \frac{1}{4} f_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4}\left((\Phi_0^a)^2 - v^2\right)^2 \right. \\ & \left. + A_\mu^{\tilde{i}} (V_{\tilde{i}}^\mu + M_{\tilde{i}4}^{\mu\rho} a_\rho) + \frac{1}{2} a_\mu g^{\mu\rho} (\Phi_0^a)^2 a_\rho + \frac{1}{2} A_\mu^{\tilde{i}} M_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}^{\mu\rho} A_\rho^{\tilde{j}} \right], \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where $A_\mu^{\tilde{i}} = -M^{-1\mu\rho}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} (V_{\tilde{j}}^\rho + M_{\tilde{j}4}^{\rho\sigma} a_\sigma)$, and M and V were defined in (3.14). Finally then,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{dual}} \;=\; & -\int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi_0^a)^2 + \frac{1}{4} f_{\mu\nu}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}\left((\Phi_0^a)^2 - v^2\right)^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2}(V_{\tilde{i}}^\mu + M_{\tilde{i}4}^{\mu\rho} a_\rho) M^{-1\mu\nu}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} (V_{\tilde{j}}^\nu + M_{\tilde{j}4}^{\nu\sigma} a_\sigma) + \frac{1}{2} a_\mu g^{\mu\rho} (\Phi_0^a)^2 a_\rho \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Since the duality exchanges particles with vortices, the basic excitations of the dual field are the semilocal vortices. In this sense, the dual action encodes the full (perturbative) dynamics of semilocal strings. In principle then, phenomena like string condensation (as a seed for structure formation, for example) can be understood from this action.

4.2 Theory with adjoint matter: modification of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $SU(2)$ SYM

We now move on to a case with adjoint matter. We will start with a $(2+1)$-dimensional reduction of the familiar $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $SU(2)$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory studied by Seiberg and Witten [2, 3] in $(3+1)$-dimensions. The model has $SU(2)$ gauge fields $A_\mu = A^a_\mu T_a$, and adjoint scalars $\Phi = \Phi^a T_a$, together with their fermionic superpartners. Ignoring the fermions for this dicussion, the bosonic action in $(2+1)$ dimensions takes the form

$$S = -\int d^3x \,\mathrm{Tr}\left[\frac{1}{4}F^2_{\mu\nu} + |\tilde{D}_\mu\Phi|^2 + \frac{g^2}{2}[\Phi^\dagger, \Phi]^2\right] , \tag{4.10}$$

where $\tilde{D}_\mu$ is an adjoint covariant derivative. The model also has a global $U(1)$ symmetry $\Phi \to e^{i\alpha}\Phi$. Dualizing along this direction, however, means that the generator left ungauged is abelian. Consequently, the resulting particle-vortex duality would be abelian too, with not much further to discuss. If, however, we turn off the adjoint gauge field, and instead gauge the $U(1)$ gauge field, the following action is obtained

$$S = -\int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + |D_\mu\Phi^a|^2 + 2g^2[\epsilon_{abc}\Phi^b\Phi^{\dagger c}]^2\right] , \tag{4.11}$$

where $D_\mu\Phi^a = (\partial_\mu - igA_\mu)\Phi^a$. Then, with the decomposition ansatz

$$\Phi^a = \Phi^a_0 e^{i\int \sum_{i=1}^4 L^i_\mu F^A_i \widetilde{T}_A} , \tag{4.12}$$

where Φ^a_0 is a real field in the adjoint of $SU(2)$, $i = 1, ..., 4$ with $i = 4$ corresponding to the identity, and $\widetilde{T}_A$ is another adjoint representation of $SU(2)$, the action becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{original}} &= -\int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + 3((\partial_\mu\Phi^a_0)^2 + (\Phi^a_0)^2 g^{\mu\nu}(L^i_\mu + A_\mu\delta^j_4)(L^j_\nu + A_\mu\delta^j_4)E_{ij}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2g^2(\epsilon_{abc}\Phi^b_0\Phi^c_0)^2\right] . \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

The master action for the duality with respect to the global $SU(2)$ is then

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{master}} &= -\int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + 3((\partial_\mu\Phi^a_0)^2 + (\Phi^a_0)^2 g^{\mu\nu}(\tilde{L}^i_\mu + A_\mu\delta^j_4)(\tilde{L}^j_\nu + A_\mu\delta^j_4)E_{ij}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2g^2(\epsilon_{abc}\Phi^b_0\Phi^c_0)^2 + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}\sum_{i=1}^3 v^i_\mu F^i_{\nu\rho}\right] . \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

The dual action is found to be

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{dual}} &= -\int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\tilde{\Phi}^a_0)^2 + \frac{g^2}{18}(\epsilon_{abc}\tilde{\Phi}^b_0\tilde{\Phi}^c_0)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2}(V^\mu_{\tilde{i}} + M^{\mu\rho}_{\tilde{i}4}A_\rho)M^{-1\mu\nu}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}(V^\nu_{\tilde{j}} + M^{\nu\sigma}_{\tilde{j}4}A_\sigma) + \frac{1}{2}A_\mu g^{\mu\rho}(\tilde{\Phi}^a_0)^2 A_\rho\right] , \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}^a_0 = \Phi^a_0/\sqrt{6}$ and the matrices M and V have the same definitions as before.

4.3 Color-flavor locking and nonabelian vortices

Finally, we consider the theory in which the original nonabelian vortex was constructed [10]. The model is one with matter in the fundamental of the gauge group with N_f flavors, and whose vortices are nonabelian in the sense that they are not dynamically reducible to abelian solitons. The model considered in [10] is the low energy limit of an $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric $SU(3)$ gauge theory with N_f flavors and equal quark masses. It has an $SU(2)\times U(1)$ gauge group, with field content consisting of the gauge fields A^a_μ, $a=1,2,3$ and A_μ; adjoint complex scalar superpartners, a^a and a, of the gluons and their the corresponding fermions; bi-fundamental quark fields q^{kA} and $\tilde{q}_{kA}$, in $SU(2)$ doublets (so that $k=1,2$) and in the fundamental of the $SU(N_f)$ flavor symmetry (so that $A=1,...,N_f$), as well as their corresponding fermions. The bosonic part of the low-energy action, considered in 2+1 dimensions, reads

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -\int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{4g_2^2}(F^a_{\mu\nu})^2+\frac{1}{4g_1^2}(F_{\mu\nu})^2+\frac{1}{g_2^2}|\nabla_\mu a^a|^2\right. \\ &\left. +\frac{1}{g_1^2}|\partial_\mu a|^2+|D_\mu q^A|^2+|D_\mu \tilde{\bar{q}}^A|^2+V\left(a^a,a,q^A,\tilde{q}_A\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

The potential V is, in general, some complicated admixture of F- and D-terms, but whose exact form is not relevant to our discussion. The covariant derivative ∇ is in the adjoint of $SU(2)$, while

$$D_\mu=\partial_\mu - iA^a_\mu\frac{\tau^a}{2}-i\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}A_\mu. \tag{4.17}$$

The most relevant case for our discussion is $N_f=2$, so we will focus exclusively on this from now on. For this number of flavors, this model exhibits nonabelian vortex solutions, with an ansatz which manifests "color-flavor locking". In other words, with the quarks $q^{kA},\tilde{q}_{kA}$ as matrices in the 2×2 dimensional (N_c,N_f) space, the solutions are diagonal. We will also take the adjoint scalars a^a and a to be trivial *i.e.*, taking their constant VEVs. As such, the vortex ansatz is

$$\begin{aligned} q^{kA} &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{in\theta}\phi_1(r) & 0 \\ 0 & e^{ik\theta}\phi_2(r)\end{pmatrix}, \\ A^3_i &= -\eta\epsilon_{ij}\frac{x^j}{r^2}(n-k-f_3(r)); \quad A^1_i=A^2_i=0, \\ A_i &= -\sqrt{3}\eta\epsilon_{ij}\frac{x^j}{r^2}(n+k-f(r))\,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

where $\eta=\pm$ is a sign and $\phi_1(r),\phi_2(r),f_3(r)$ and $f(r)$ are real functions that satisfy some BPS equations whose solutions will define the vortex profile.

The full symmetry group of the theory is $SU(2)\times SU(2)\times U(1)$, out of which an $SU(2)\times U(1)$ is coupled to external gauge fields. In other words, we could well perform the duality on the global (flavor) $SU(2)$. In this case, we would need 7 L^i_μ for the 7 generators of the full symmetry group. However, if we were to gauge the whole $SU(2)$ using the prescription

for a general representation (A.5), we would obtain a different covariant derivative than the one in used in the above model. The only possibility that allows us to have the nonabelian duality described in this article then, is to restrict to abelian covariant derivatives with respect to the overall $U(1)$ and the $U(1)$ defined by the $\tau_3 \in SU(2)$. This means that, together with the $SU(2)$ to be gauged, we need 5 L^i_μ's in the decomposition ansatz. Since the ansatz for the vortex takes

$$q^{1A} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{in\theta} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad q^{2A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e^{ik\theta} \end{pmatrix} , \tag{4.19}$$

we may as well write the quark fields as

$$q^{1A} = \Phi^1_0 \, e^{i \int dx \sum_{i=1}^5 L^i_\mu F_i}; \quad q^{2A} = \Phi^2_0 \, e^{i \int dx \sum_{i=1}^5 L^i_\mu F_i} , \tag{4.20}$$

with covariant derivatives

$$\tilde{D}_\mu q^{1A} = (\partial_\mu - i a^{(1)}_\mu) q^{1A}; \quad \tilde{D}_\mu q^{2A} = (\partial_\mu - i a^{(2)}_\mu) q^{2A} , \tag{4.21}$$

and where

$$a^{(1)}_\mu = A_\mu + A^3_\mu; \quad a^{(2)}_\mu = A_\mu - A^3_\mu. \tag{4.22}$$

This is therefore consistent with both the vortex ansatz, and the general duality prescription described in this paper. The original action for the duality is then

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{original}} \;=\; & -\int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{4g_2^2}(F^a_{\mu\nu})^2 + \frac{1}{4g_1^2}(F_{\mu\nu})^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi^1_0)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi^2_0)^2 \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{2}(\Phi^1_0)^2 \left(L^i_\mu - \delta^\mu_4 a^{(1)}_\mu \right) \left(L^j_\nu - \delta^j_4 a^{(1)}_\nu \right) g^{\mu\nu} E_{ij} \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2}(\Phi^2_0)^2 \left(L^i_\mu - \delta^\mu_5 a^{(2)}_\mu \right) \left(L^j_\nu - \delta^j_5 a^{(2)}_\nu \right) g^{\mu\nu} E_{ij} + V \right] , \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

and corresponds to the master action

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{master}} \;=\; & -\int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{4g_2^2}(F^a_{\mu\nu})^2 + \frac{1}{4g_1^2}(F_{\mu\nu})^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi^1_0)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi^2_0)^2 \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{2}(\Phi^1_0)^2 \left(\tilde{L}^i_\mu - \delta^\mu_4 a^{(1)}_\mu \right) \left(\tilde{L}^j_\nu - \delta^j_4 a^{(1)}_\nu \right) g^{\mu\nu} \, E_{ij} \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2}(\Phi^2_0)^2 \left(\tilde{L}^i_\mu - \delta^\mu_5 a^{(2)}_\mu \right) \left(\tilde{L}^j_\nu - \delta^j_5 a^{(2)}_\nu \right) g^{\mu\nu} \, E_{ij} + V + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \sum_{\tilde{i}=1,2,3} v^{\tilde{i}} F^{\tilde{i}}_{\mu\nu} \right] . \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

The dual action is found to be

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{dual}} \;=\; & -\int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{4g_2^2}(F^a_{\mu\nu})^2 + \frac{1}{4g_1^2}(F_{\mu\nu})^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi^1_0)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi^2_0)^2 \right. \\ & \left. + A^{\tilde{i}}_\mu (V^\mu_{\tilde{i}} + M^{\mu\rho}_{\tilde{i}4} a^{(1)}_\rho + M^{\mu\rho}_{\tilde{i}5} a^{(2)}_\rho) + \sum_{k=1,2} \frac{1}{2} a^{(k)}_\mu g^{\mu\rho} (\Phi^k_0)^2 a^{(k)}_\rho + \frac{1}{2} A^{\tilde{i}}_\mu M^{\mu\rho}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} A^{\tilde{j}}_\rho \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & -\int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{4g_2^2}(F^a_{\mu\nu})^2 + \frac{1}{4g_1^2}(F_{\mu\nu})^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi_0^1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi_0^2)^2 \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{2}(V^\mu_{\tilde{i}} + M^{\mu\rho}_{\tilde{i}4} a^{1)}_\rho + M^{\mu\rho}_{\tilde{i}5} A^{(2)}_\rho) M^{-1\mu\nu}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} (V^\nu_{\tilde{j}} + M^{\nu\sigma}_{\tilde{j}4} a^{(1)}_\sigma + M^{\nu\sigma}_{\tilde{j}5} a^{(2)}_\sigma) \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1,2} a^{(k)}_\mu g^{\mu\rho} (\Phi^k_0)^2 a^{(k)}_\rho \right] , \qquad (4.25)
\end{aligned}
$$

with the same definition for M and V, but in the definition of the matrix M we now replace $(\Phi^a_0)^2$ with $(\Phi^1_0)^2 + (\Phi^2_0)^2$.

5 Conclusions

This article is an elaboration on a previous paper in which we first defined a new nonabelian particle-vortex duality [9]. The low- dimensional duality that we describe in detail here not only extends the, by now, well-known $U(1)$ particle-vortex duality to larger gauge groups, but it also generalizes to 2+1 dimensions, nonabelian T-duality on the string worldsheet. It acts on a theory with nonabelian global symmetry, G, as well as an extra local symmetry, and with matter in an arbitrary representation of the gauge group. As concrete illustrations of how the duality works in practice, we have studied three different theories that all exhibit nonabelian vortices of some form: semilocal cosmic strings, a modification of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SYM gauge theory (Seiberg-Witten model) reduced to 2+1 dimensions, and the original color-flavor locked nonabelian vortices. In each of these cases, we showed how our vortex ansatz could be used to be used to implement the duality $S_{\text{original}} \to S_{\text{master}} \to S_{\text{dual}}$ at the level of the on-shell action.

There remain a number of important points that we have not addressed yet. Foremost among these is the issue of topology. It is well known that topological issues make implementing nonabelian T-duality at the level of the full path integral notoriously difficult [19]. Given that our construction hinges on a lifting of nonabelian T-duality from 2 to 3 dimensions, and that 3-dimensional spacetime is replete with topological nuances (winding numbers, Chern-Simons theory, etc.), we expect that such issues, while beyond the scope of this paper, will no doubt play a role in a full understanding of the duality. Another, perhaps related, point that deserves further clarification is that, unlike full nonabelian T-duality which acts on the full theory, particle-vortex duality acts only on-shell and maps only a small sector of the one theory into the other. We anticipate that, clarifying the domain and range of the duality map will also shed some much needed light on the moduli space of vortex zero-modes. Finally, nonabelian vortices of the kind studied in this paper are closely related to the nonabelian monopoles (of the higher dimensional Seiberg-Witten like theory) on which they end. In this sense, the particle-vortex duality we study here should be intimately related to electric-magnetic duality in the high dimensional theory. How exactly, remains to be understood.

That particle-vortex duality is an extremely powerful tool with many potential applications is undisputed. In fact, the abelian version of the duality has already proven very useful in the analysis of the quantum Hall effect [5]. Much of this utility comes from the structure of the modular group associated to the duality transformation, itself inherited from the symmetry group of the worldsheet T-duality group. Worldsheet nonabelian T-duality transformations no longer form a group, so certainly, we anticipate that at least some of this utility is lost. Nevertheless, it would be very interesting to see how much of this structure is still preserved.

To close, one further interesting, and quite topical, direction for development is the following. Theories with Chern-Simons gauge fields, including so-called Chern-Simons-matter theories, like the ABJM model, are known to support *anyons*, quasiparticles that obey neither bosonic nor fermionic statistics [20]. In fact, a nonrelativistic limit of an abelian reduction of the ABJM model [21] leads to a theory that can be used to describe anyons in a harmonic trap [22]. It is intriguing to speculate that the non-Abelian particle-vortex duality studied here, embedded into a non-Abelian Chern-Simons gauge theory like the ABJM model [7], might be relevant for a holographic description of the nonabelions of [23]. These are quasiparticle excitations of fractional quantum Hall systems that obey nonabelian braiding statistics and play a central role in the study of topological quantum matter. But this is another story for another year.

6 Acknowledgements

We thank Thiago Araújo, Leo Pando Zayas, Fernando Quevedo and Jonathan Shock for discussions. The work of HN is supported in part by CNPq grant 301709/2013-0 and FAPESP grants 2013/14152-7 and 2014/18634-9. JM acknowledges support from the National Research Foundation (NRF) of South Africa under it CPRR program under grant number 87677.

A General representation

In this appendix we present some comments on the most general case, with the scalar Φ in an arbitrary representation. As before, the representation must split into a product of representations labelled by a and A. Now however, to preserve generality, we cannot use generators (denoted earlier by T_a and $\tilde{T}_A$), which have indices in the adjoint representation. Instead, we will make an analogous ansatz, without these matrices,

$$\Phi^{a,A} = \Phi_0^a e^{i\int dx^\mu L_\mu^i F_i^A}, \tag{A.1}$$

and note that there should be no sum over A when expanding the exponential into products. At first sight, this might seem strange, since one would think that a product of fields

in some representation, should be a matrix product with a corresponding sum over indices. Formally, though, one can simply say that an object f^A defined, such that $\Phi^{a,A} = \Phi_0^a f^A$, is written (and treated) as an exponential, say $(e^{i\int dx^\mu L_\mu^i F_i})^A$.

To write an appropriate kinetic term for Φ, we compute,

$$\partial_\mu \Phi_0^a = \left[(\partial_\mu \Phi_0^a) + i\Phi_0^a\, L_\mu^i\, F_i^A\right]\, e^{i\int dx^\mu L_\mu^i F_i^A}\ , \tag{A.2}$$

leading to the kinetic term for the ungauged case

$$\sum_{a,A} |\partial_\mu \Phi^{aA}|^2 = N(\partial_\mu \Phi_0^a)^2 + (\Phi_0^a)^2 L_\mu^i L_\nu^j g^{\mu\nu} F_i^A F_j^A \equiv N[(\partial_\mu \Phi_0^a)^2 + (\Phi_0^a)^2 L_\mu^i L_\nu^j g^{\mu\nu} E_{ij}]\ , \tag{A.3}$$

i.e., the same form in terms of components as in the case of the adjoint representation. In order to gauge the theory, we need a covariant derivative for the gauge field. We can make the derivative in L_μ^i covariant, in the same way for the duality gauging with A_μ^i, and for the gauging with the external field a_μ, thus replacing L_μ^i with $\tilde{\tilde{L}}_\mu^i$.

Or, since we would like to have a covariant derivative on the whole Φ^{aA} field in order to define finite energy vortices, we could define

$$(D_\mu \Phi)^{aA} = (\partial_\mu \Phi_0^a \delta_C^A + \Phi_0^a i L_\mu^i F_i^C \delta_C^A + \Phi_0^a i A_\mu^M (T_M)^A{}_C) e^{i\int dx^\mu L_\mu^j F_j^C}\,. \tag{A.4}$$

This assumes that the index A on F_i^A is gauged, so a certain identification of i and A indices, at least for the part that is gauged. Otherwise, the same replacement of L_μ^i with $\tilde{\tilde{L}}_\mu^i = L_\mu^i + a_\mu^i$ can be done in the exponent,

$$(D_\mu \Phi)^{aA} = (\partial_\mu \Phi_0^a \delta_C^A + \Phi_0^a i L_\mu^i F_i^C \delta_C^A) e^{i\int dx^\mu (L_\mu^j + a_\mu^j) F_j^C}\,. \tag{A.5}$$

Note that Φ_0^a is real, so the gauging doesn't affect its index, a. Besides the above possibilities, we have the cases of the adjoint and the $U(1)$ gaugings, already discussed. Which of the gaugings is chosen depends on the model.

References

[1] S. R. Coleman, "The Quantum Sine-Gordon Equation as the Massive Thirring Model," *Phys. Rev.* **D11** (1975) 2088.

[2] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, "Electric - magnetic duality, monopole condensation, and confinement in N=2 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory," *Nucl. Phys.* **B426** (1994) 19–52, `arXiv:hep-th/9407087 [hep-th]`. [Erratum: Nucl. Phys.B430,485(1994)].

[3] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, "Monopoles, duality and chiral symmetry breaking in N=2 supersymmetric QCD," *Nucl. Phys.* **B431** (1994) 484–550, `arXiv:hep-th/9408099 [hep-th]`.

[4] D. Lee and M. Fisher, "Anyon superconductivity and charge vortex duality," *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **B5** (1991) 2675–2699.

[5] C. Burgess and B. P. Dolan, "Particle vortex duality and the modular group: Applications to the quantum Hall effect and other 2-D systems," *Phys.Rev.* **B63** (2001) 155309, arXiv:hep-th/0010246 [hep-th].

[6] A. Zee, "Quantum Field Theory in a nutshell, second edition," *Princeton University Press, 2010* .

[7] J. Murugan, H. Nastase, N. Rughoonauth, and J. P. Shock, "Particle-vortex and Maxwell duality in the $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$/ABJM correspondence," *JHEP* **10** (2014) 51, arXiv:1404.5926 [hep-th].

[8] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D. L. Jafferis, and J. Maldacena, "N=6 superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals," *JHEP* **0810** (2008) 091, arXiv:0806.1218 [hep-th].

[9] J. Murugan and H. Nastase, "A Nonabelian Particle-Vortex Duality," arXiv:1506.04090 [hep-th].

[10] R. Auzzi, S. Bolognesi, J. Evslin, K. Konishi, and A. Yung, "NonAbelian superconductors: Vortices and confinement in N=2 SQCD," *Nucl. Phys.* **B673** (2003) 187–216, arXiv:hep-th/0307287 [hep-th].

[11] T. H. Buscher, "Path Integral Derivation of Quantum Duality in Nonlinear Sigma Models," *Phys. Lett.* **B201** (1988) 466.

[12] X. C. de la Ossa and F. Quevedo, "Duality symmetries from nonAbelian isometries in string theory," *Nucl. Phys.* **B403** (1993) 377–394, arXiv:hep-th/9210021 [hep-th].

[13] K. Sfetsos and D. C. Thompson, "On non-abelian T-dual geometries with Ramond fluxes," *Nucl. Phys.* **B846** (2011) 21–42, arXiv:1012.1320 [hep-th].

[14] G. Itsios, C. Nunez, K. Sfetsos, and D. C. Thompson, "Non-Abelian T-duality and the AdS/CFT correspondence:new N=1 backgrounds," *Nucl. Phys.* **B873** (2013) 1–64, arXiv:1301.6755 [hep-th].

[15] T. Vachaspati and A. Achucarro, "Semilocal cosmic strings," *Phys. Rev.* **D44** (1991) 3067–3071.

[16] M. Hindmarsh, "Existence and stability of semilocal strings," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68** (1992) 1263–1266.

[17] G. W. Gibbons, M. E. Ortiz, F. Ruiz Ruiz, and T. M. Samols, "Semilocal strings and monopoles," *Nucl. Phys.* **B385** (1992) 127–144, arXiv:hep-th/9203023 [hep-th].

[18] A. Vilenkin and E. P. S. Shellard, *Cosmic Strings and Other Topological Defects*. Cambridge University Press, 2000.

[19] A. Giveon and M. Rocek, "On nonAbelian duality," *Nucl. Phys.* **B421** (1994) 173–190, arXiv:hep-th/9308154 [hep-th].

[20] S. Kawamoto and F.-L. Lin, "Holographic Anyons in the ABJM Theory," *JHEP* **02** (2010) 059, arXiv:0910.5536 [hep-th].

[21] C. Lopez-Arcos, J. Murugan, and H. Nastase, "Nonrelativistic limit of the abelianized ABJM model and the ADS/CMT correspondence," arXiv:1510.01662 [hep-th].

[22] N. Doroud, D. Tong, and C. Turner, "On Superconformal Anyons," arXiv:1511.01491 [hep-th].

[23] G. W. Moore and N. Read, "Nonabelions in the fractional quantum Hall effect," *Nucl. Phys.* **B360** (1991) 362–396.